1 2 3	OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY Peter S. Holmes, WSBA # 15787 Laura B. Wishik, WSBA #16682 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050 Seattle, Washington 98104-7097 Telephone: (206) 684-8200			
4567	BARON & BUDD, P.C. Scott Summy (pending Pro Hac Vice) (Texas Bar No. 19507500) Carla Burke (pending Pro Hac Vice) (Texas Bar No. 24012490) Celeste Evangelisti (pending Pro Hac Vice) (CA Bar No. 225232)			
8 9	3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 1100 Dallas, Texas 75219-4281 Telephone: (214) 521-3605			
10 11 12	GOMEZ TRIAL ATTORNEYS John H. Gomez (pending Pro Hac Vice) (CA Bar No. 171485) John P. Fiske (pending Pro Hac Vice) (CA Bar No. 249256)			
13 14	655 West Broadway, Suite 1700 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 237-3490			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
16	WESTERN DISTRIC	T OF WASHINGTON		
17 18	CITY OF SEATTLE, a municipal corporation located in the County of King, State of) CASE NO		
19 20	Washington, Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINALCOMPLAINT		
21	v.))		
22 23	MONSANTO COMPANY, SOLUTIA INC., and PHARMACIA CORPORATION, and DOES 1 through 100,)))		
2425	Defendants.)))		
26 27	/// ///			
28	PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 1	OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY		

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050 Seattle, Washington 98104-7097 Telephone: (206) 684-8200

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Polychlorinated biphenyls (or "PCBs") are man-made chemical compounds that have become notorious as global environmental contaminants found in bays, oceans, rivers, streams, soil, and air. As a result, PCBs have been detected in the tissues of all living beings on earth including all forms of marine life, various animals and birds, plants and trees, and humans.
- 2. The extent of environmental PCB contamination is troubling because PCBs cause a variety of adverse health effects. In humans, PCB exposure is associated with cancer as well as serious non-cancer health effects, including effects on the immune system, reproductive system, nervous system, endocrine system and other health effects. In addition, PCBs destroy populations of fish, birds, and other animal life.
- 3. Monsanto Company was the sole manufacturer of PCBs in the United States from 1935 to 1979, and trademarked the name "Aroclor" for certain PCB compounds. Although Monsanto knew for decades that PCBs were toxic and knew that they were widely contaminating all natural resources and living organisms, Monsanto concealed these facts and continued producing PCBs until Congress enacted the Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA"), which banned the manufacture and most uses of PCBs as of January 1, 1979.
- 4. PCBs were used in many industrial and commercial applications such as paint, caulking, transformers, capacitors, coolants, hydraulic fluids, plasticizers, sealants, inks, lubricants, and other uses. PCBs regularly leach, leak, off-gas, and escape their intended applications, contaminating runoff during naturally occurring storm and rain events.
- 5. As a result, PCBs contaminate City streets, the City's drainage systems, stormwater, and water bodies within the City of Seattle.
- 6. The Duwamish River runs through the heart of the City of Seattle. At the mouth of the Duwamish is Harbor Island, bounded on one side by the East Waterway and on the other side by the West Waterway. Beginning at the upstream end of Harbor Island and continuing for about six miles upstream is a section known as the Lower Duwamish.
- 7. PCBs were detected in seventy-five percent of more than 1,000 samples collected from catch basins and drainage lines in the Lower Duwamish drainage area. In the East Waterway PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT 2 OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

3

4

8

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 drainage areas, PCBs were detected in eighty-two percent of samples collected with "in-line grabs" of sediment in drainage pipes and PCBs were detected in seventy-three percent of samples collected from catch basins in street right-of-ways.

- 8. The City has incurred costs to identify and reduce sources of PCBs entering its stormwater and wastewater systems. The Washington Department of Ecology is requiring the City to increase its efforts to reduce PCBs entering its drainage systems. The City will continue to incur costs to do so.
- 9. Under a Consent Decree jointly issued by EPA and the Washington Department of Ecology, the City will be constructing a stormwater treatment plant adjacent to the Lower Duwamish River. The plant is designed to remove PCBs from stormwater. The cost for the plant is currently estimated to be nearly \$27 Million. The plant will treat stormwater from 1.25 percent of the 20,000 acres that drain to the Lower Duwamish.
- 10. The Lower Duwamish is listed on the National Priorities List as a Superfund Site. The City is subject to an administrative order issued jointly by the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the Washington Department of Ecology that required extensive investigation of contamination in the Lower Duwamish and preparation of a Feasibility Study identifying remedial options. The City is continuing to incur costs to implement the order and will incur costs to implement the remedy selected by EPA.
- 11. In November 2014, EPA issued its Record of Decision for the Lower Duwamish. EPA selected a remedy that EPA estimates will cost \$342 million.
- 12. The City also incurred millions of dollars investigating and remediating four specific areas, called Early Action Areas, within the Lower Duwamish Site that were contaminated with PCBs, including property that the City owns in Slip 4 and City streets adjacent to Terminal 117.
- 13. The other two Early Action Areas were adjacent to outfalls where discharges from the City's drainage system were contaminated with PCBs through no fault of the City.
- 14. The East Waterway also is listed on the National Priorities List as a Superfund Site. PCBs are a primary contaminant of concern. Some of the PCB contamination got into sediments in the East Waterway through stormwater and combined sewer overflows.

7

1011

13

14

12

1516

17

18

1920

21

22

2324

26

25

2728

15. The City is paying a substantial portion of the costs to investigate contamination in the East Waterway and identify remedial options. The current draft of the Feasibility Study identifies remedial options that range in cost from \$267 million to \$443 million. The City will continue incurring costs to complete the Feasibility Study and to implement the remedy that EPA selects.

Plaintiff CITY OF SEATTLE hereby alleges, upon information and belief, as follows:

II. PARTIES

- 16. The CITY OF SEATTLE ("Seattle," "City," or "Plaintiff") is a municipal corporation, duly organized and existing by virtue of the laws of the State of Washington.
- 17. The City brings this suit pursuant to RCW 7.48.010, et al. and any other applicable codes or forms of relief available for monetary damages and removal of the public nuisance caused by Monsanto's PCBs.
- 18. Seattle has three types of drainage systems: a municipal separated stormwater system (MS4), a partially separated system, and a combined sewer system that collects stormwater and sewage. The City's combined system is connected to trunk lines operated by King County that go to wastewater treatment plants. Heavy rains cause the combined system to overflow through Combined Sewer Outfalls ("CSOs").
- 19. In order to discharge stormwater from the MS4, Seattle is subject to a Phase I Municipal Stormwater Permit issued by the State of Washington, Department of Ecology, pursuant to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System under the Clean Water Act.
- 20. Seattle's other systems are subject to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Waste Discharge Permit (WDR) WA0031682.
- 21. The City currently has one CSO outfall in the Lower Duwamish. The City's MS4 system discharges stormwater into the Lower Duwamish through 17 outfalls that the City owns and 12 outfalls owned by others. The City also has CSO and stormwater outfalls in the East Waterway.
- 22. The City of Seattle has spent and will continue to spend significant money to reduce PCBs in its discharges. Under a Consent Decree regarding the City's combined sewer overflows (CSOs), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") has approved the City's plan to build a PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT 4

 OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

- 23. In November 2014, EPA issued its Record of Decision selecting a remedy for the Lower Duwamish. EPA identified PCBs in the Lower Duwamish as a significant threat to human health and the environment.
- 24. Fish and shellfish that reside in the Lower Duwamish are contaminated with PCBs at levels that make them unfit for human consumption. Despite warnings, people continue to eat them. Many residents of the City of Seattle, particularly people who are recent immigrants or low income, depend on fish and shellfish from the Lower Duwamish as a significant food source.
- 25. Puget Sound is a Category 5 "impaired" water body for PCBs through at least one medium: wildlife tissue. PCBs are found in the tissue of harbor seal pups in South Central Puget Sound.
- 26. Defendant Monsanto Company ("Monsanto") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in St. Louis, Missouri.
- 27. Defendant Solutia Inc. ("Solutia") is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business in St. Louis, Missouri.
- 28. Defendant Pharmacia LLC (formerly known as "Pharmacia Corporation" and successor to the original Monsanto Company) is a Delaware LLC with its principal place of business in Peapack, New Jersey. Pharmacia is now a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pfizer, Inc. The City is not asserting claims against Pharmacia for costs of investigating and remediating contamination in the Lower Duwamish. In all other respects the City's claims apply to Pharmacia.
- 29. The original Monsanto Company ("Old Monsanto") operated an agricultural products business, a pharmaceutical and nutrition business, and a chemical products business. Old Monsanto began manufacturing PCBs in the 1930s and continued to manufacture commercial PCBs until the late 1970s.

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

http://www.monsanto.com/investors/pages/sec-filings.aspx (last accessed January 20, 2016).

28

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 6

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050 Seattle, Washington 98104-7097 Telephone: (206) 684-8200

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1

2

3

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

35. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332 because complete diversity exists between Plaintiff and Defendants. The Plaintiff is located in Washington, but no Defendant is a citizen of Washington. Monsanto is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in St. Louis, Missouri. Solutia is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in St. Louis, Missouri. Pharmacia is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business in Peapack, New Jersey.

36. Venue is appropriate in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1391(a) because a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated in this judicial district.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. PCBs are Toxic Chemicals that Cause Environmental Contamination.

- 37. Polychlorinated biphenyl, or "PCB," is a molecule comprised of chlorine atoms attached to a double carbon-hydrogen ring (a "biphenyl" ring). A "PCB congener" is any single, unique chemical compound in the PCB category. Over two hundred congeners have been identified.⁴
- 38. PCBs were generally manufactured as mixtures of congeners. From approximately 1935 to 1979, Monsanto Company was the only manufacturer in the United States that intentionally produced PCBs for commercial use.⁵ The most common trade name for PCBs in the United States was "Aroclor," which was trademarked by Old Monsanto.
- 39. Monsanto's commercially-produced PCBs were used in a wide range of industrial applications in the United States including electrical equipment such as transformers, motor start capacitors, and lighting ballasts. In addition, PCBs were incorporated into a variety of products such

⁴ Table of PCB Congeners, available at

http://www.epa.gov/epawaste/hazard/tsd/pcbs/pubs/congeners.htm (last accessed February 20, 2014).

⁵ See 116 Cong. Record 11695, 91st Congress, (April 14, 1970) ("Insofar as the Monsanto Co., the sole manufacturer of PCB's is concerned"); 121 Cong. Record 33879, 94th Congress, (October 23, 1975) ("The sole U.S. producer, Monsanto Co. . . ."). See also MONS 058730-058752 at 058733 (identifying other producers as "all ex-USA.").

as caulks, paints, and sealants.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 40. As used in this Complaint, the terms "PCB," "PCBs," "PCB-containing products," and "PCB products" refer to products containing polychlorinated biphenyl congener(s) manufactured for placement into trade or commerce, including any product that forms a component part of or that is subsequently incorporated into another product.
- 41. PCBs easily migrate out of their original source material or enclosure and contaminate nearby surfaces, air, water, soil, and other materials. For example, PCB compounds volatilize out of building materials (such as caulk) into surrounding materials such as masonry, wood, drywall, and soil, thereby causing damage to those surrounding materials. PCBs can also escape from totally-enclosed materials (such as light ballasts) and similarly contaminate and damage surrounding materials.
 - 42. PCBs present serious risks to the health of humans, wildlife, and the environment.
- 43. Humans may be exposed to PCBs through ingestion, inhalation, and dermal contact. Individuals may inhale PCBs that are emitted into the air. They may also ingest PCBs that are emitted into air and settle onto surfaces that come into contact with food or drinks. And they may absorb PCBs from physical contact with PCBs or PCB-containing materials.
- 44. EPA has determined that Monsanto's PCBs are probable human carcinogens. In 1996, EPA reassessed PCB carcinogenicity, based on data related to Aroclors 1016, 1242, 1254, and 1260. EPA's cancer reassessment was peer reviewed by 15 experts on PCBs, including scientists from government, academia and industry, all of whom agreed that PCBs are probable human carcinogens.
- 45. The International Agency for Research on Cancer published an assessment in 2015 that asserts an even stronger relationship between PCBs and human cancer. The report explains: "There is sufficient evidence in humans for the carcinogenicity of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). PCBs cause malignant melanoma. Positive associations have been observed for non-Hodgkin lymphoma and

2526

⁶ EPA, PCBs: Cancer Dose-Response Assessment and Application to Environmental Mixtures, EPA/600/P-96/001F (September 1996), available at

http://www.epa.gov/epawaste/hazard/tsd/pcbs/pubs/pcb.pdf (last accessed January 20, 2016).

28

cancer of the breast. ... PCBs are carcinogenic to humans"7

- 46. In addition, EPA concluded that PCBs are associated with serious non-cancer health effects. From extensive studies of animals and primates using environmentally relevant doses, EPA has found evidence that PCBs exert significant toxic effects, including effects on the immune system, the reproductive system, the nervous system, and the endocrine system.
- 47. PCBs affect the immune system by causing a significant decrease in the size of the thymus gland, lowered immune response, and decreased resistance to viruses and other infections. The animal studies were not able to identify a level of PCB exposure that did not affect the immune system. Human studies confirmed immune system suppression.
- 48. Studies of reproductive effects in human populations exposed to PCBs show decreased birth weight and a significant decrease in gestational age with increasing exposures to PCBs. Animal studies have shown that PCB exposures reduce birth weight, conception rates, live birth rates, and reduced sperm counts.
- 49. Human and animal studies confirm that PCB exposure causes persistent and significant deficits in neurological development, affecting visual recognition, short-term memory, and learning. Some of these studies were conducted using the types of PCBs most commonly found in human breast milk.
- 50. PCBs may also disrupt the normal function of the endocrine system. PCBs have been shown to affect thyroid hormone levels in both animals and humans. In animals, decreased thyroid hormone levels have resulted in developmental deficits, including deficits in hearing. PCB exposures have also been associated with changes in thyroid hormone levels in infants in studies conducted in the Netherlands and Japan.
- 51. PCBs have been associated with other health effects including elevated blood pressure, serum triglyceride, and serum cholesterol in humans; dermal and ocular effects in monkeys and humans; and liver toxicity in rodents.

28

1

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 9

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050 Seattle, Washington 98104-7097 Telephone: (206) 684-8200

⁷ International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans, volume 107. Polychlorinated and Polybrominated Biphenyls (2015), available at http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol107/ (last accessed January 20, 2016).

- 52. Children may be affected to a greater extent than adults. The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry explained: "Younger children may be particularly vulnerable to PCBs because, compared to adults, they are growing more rapidly and generally have lower and distinct profiles of biotransformation enzymes, as well as much smaller fat deposits for sequestering the lipophilic PCBs."8
- 53. PCBs are known to be toxic to a number of aquatic species and wildlife including fish, marine mammals, reptiles, amphibians, and birds. Exposure is associated with death, compromised immune system function, adverse effects on reproduction, development, and endocrine function. PCB exposure affects liver function, the digestive system, and nervous systems and can promote cancer in a number of animal species. The presence of PCBs can cause changes in community and ecosystem structure and function.9

В. Monsanto Has Long Known of PCBs' Toxicity.

- 54. Monsanto was well aware of scientific literature published in the 1930s that established that inhalation in industrial settings resulted in toxic systemic effects.
- 55. An October 11, 1937, Monsanto memorandum advises that "Experimental work in animals shows that prolonged exposure to Aroclor vapors evolved at high temperatures or by repeated oral ingestion will lead to systemic toxic effects. Repeated bodily contact with the liquid Aroclors may lead to an acne-form skin eruption."¹⁰
- 56. A September 20, 1955, memo from Emmet Kelly set out Monsanto's position with respect to PCB toxicity: "We know Aroclors are toxic but the actual limit has not been precisely defined. It does not make too much difference, it seems to me, because our main worry is what will

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

GOMEZ

⁸ Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Toxicological Profile for Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs), (November 2000), at 381, available at www.atsdr.cdc.gov (last accessed January 20, 2016).

⁹ See EPA, Understanding PCB Risks, available at http://www.epa.gov/ge-housatonic/understanding- pcb-risks-ge-pittsfieldhousatonic-river-site#WildlifeHumanHealthEffects (last accessed January 20, 2016).

¹⁰ MONS 061332.

exhibiting a greater degree of toxicity in this chronic study than we had anticipated. Secondly, 1 2 although there are variations depending on species of animals, the PCB's are about the same as DDT in 3 mammals."17 C. Monsanto Has Long Known that PCBs Were "Global Contaminants" Causing 4 Harm to Animals and Fish. 6 61. At the same time, Monsanto became aware that PCBs were causing widespread contamination of the environment, far beyond the areas of its use. 8 62. Monsanto's Medical Director reviewed an article by Swedish researcher Soren Jensen, who reported the detection of PCBs in the tissues of fish and wildlife in Sweden. ¹⁸ The report noted 10 that PCBs were also detected in the air over London and Hamburg and found in seals caught off the coast of Scotland. Jensen concluded that PCBs can "be presumed to be widespread throughout the 11 world."19 12 13 63. A December 1968 article by Richard Risebrough identified chlorinated hydrocarbons (which include PCBs) as "the most abundant synthetic pollutants present in the global environment."²⁰ 14 15 The article reported finding significant concentrations of PCBs in the bodies and eggs of peregrine falcons and 34 other bird species. The report linked PCBs to the rapid decline in peregrine falcon 16 17 populations in the United States. On March 6, 1969, Monsanto employee W. M. Richard wrote a memorandum 18 64. discussing Risebrough's article that criticized PCBs as a "toxic substance", "widely spread by air-20 water; therefore, an uncontrollable pollutant . . . causing extinction of peregrine falcon . . . [and] endangering man himself."21 Richard explained that Monsanto could take steps to reduce PCB 21 22 ¹⁷ MONS 098480 23 ¹⁸ New Scientist (December 15, 1966), MONSFOX00003427. 24 ¹⁹ *Id*. 25 ²⁰ R.W. Risebrough, Polychlorinated Biphenls in the Global Ecosystem, Nature, Vol. 220 (December 26 14, 1968). 27

28

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 12

²¹ MONS 096509-096511.

releases from its own plants but cautioned, "It will be still more difficult to control other end uses such as cutting oils, adhesives, plastics, and NCR paper. In this applications exposure to consumers is greater and the disposal problem becomes complex." ²²

- 65. On September 9, 1969, Monsanto employee W.R. Richard wrote an interoffice memo titled "Defense of Aroclor." He acknowledged the role of Aroclor in water pollution: "Aroclor product is refractive, will settle out on solids sewerage sludge river bottoms, and apparently has a long life." He noted that Aroclors 1254 and 1260 had been found along the Gulf Coast of Florida causing a problem with shrimp; in San Francisco Bay, where it was reported to thin egg shells in birds; and in the Great Lakes. Richard advised that the company could not defend itself against all criticism: "We can't defend vs. everything. Some animals or fish or insects will be harmed. Aroclor degradation rate will be slow. Tough to defend against. Higher chlorination compounds will be worse [than] lower chlorine compounds. Therefore we will have to restrict uses and clean-up as much as we can, starting immediately."²⁴
- 66. The Aroclor Ad Hoc Committee held its first meeting on September 5, 1969. The committee's objectives were to continue sales and profits of Aroclors in light of the fact that PCB "may be a global contaminant." The meeting minutes acknowledge that PCB has been found in fish, oysters, shrimp, birds, along coastlines of industrialized areas such as Great Britain, Sweden, Rhine River, low countries, Lake Michigan, Pensacola Bay, and in Western wildlife. Moreover, the committee implicated the normal use of PCB-containing products as the cause of the problem: "In one application alone (highway paints), one million lbs/year are used. Through abrasion and leaching we can assume that nearly all of this Aroclor winds up in the environment." 26

22

1

2

3

4

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

 $||23||_{22}$ *Id.*

24 | 23 DSW 014256-014263.

 $||_{24} ||_{Id}$.

²⁵ MONS 030483-030486.

²⁶ *Id*.at 030485.

28

GOMEZ

26

27

contamination. The U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife found PCB residues in dead eagles and marine birds. Similarly, the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries reported finding PCBs in the river below Monsanto's Pensacola plant. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration had discovered PCBs in milk supplies. The Committee advised that Monsanto could not protect the environment from Aroclors as "global" contaminants but could protect the continued manufacture and sale of Aroclors:

growing incrimination of specific polychlorinated biphenyls (the higher chlorinated – e.g. Aroclors 1254 and 1260) as nearly global environmental contaminants leading to contamination of human food (particularly fish), the killing of some marine species (shrimp), and the possible extinction of several Secondly, the committee believes that there is no practical course of action

that can so effectively police the uses of these products as to prevent environmental contamination. There are, however a number of actions which must be undertaken to prolong the manufacture, sale and use of these particular Aroclors as well as to protect the continued use of other members of the Aroclor series.²⁷

68. Despite growing evidence of PCBs' infiltration of every level of the global ecology, Monsanto remained steadfast in its production of Aroclors and other PCBs.

- 69. Monsanto expressed a desire to keep profiting from PCBs despite the environmental havoc in a PCB Presentation to Corporate Development Committee. The report suggests possible reactions to the contamination issue. It considered that doing nothing was "unacceptable from a legal, moral, and customer public relations and company policy viewpoint." But the option of going out of the Aroclor business was also considered unacceptable: "there is too much customer/market need and selfishly too much Monsanto profit to go out."²⁸
- 70. Monsanto's desire to protect Aroclor sales rather than the environment is reflected in the Committee's stated objectives:
 - 1. Protect continues sales and profits of Aroclors;
 - 2. Permit continued development of new uses and sales, and

27 28

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

²⁷ DSW 014612-014624, at 014615.

²⁸ MONS 058737.

11 12

10

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

23

22

24

²⁹ *Id*.

25

26 27

GOMEZ

Protect the image of the Organic Division and the Corporation as members of the business community recognizing their responsibilities to prevent and/or control contamination of the global ecosystem.²⁹

- 71. An interoffice memorandum circulated on February 16, 1970, provided talking points for discussions with customers in response to Monsanto's decision to eliminate Aroclors 1254 and 1260: "We (your customer and Monsanto) are not interested in using a product which may present a problem to our environment." Nevertheless, the memo acknowledges that Monsanto "can't afford to lose one dollar of business." To that end, it says, "We want to avoid any situation where a customer wants to return fluid. . . . We would prefer that the customer use up his current inventory and purchase [new products] when available. He will then top off with the new fluid and eventually all Aroclor 1254 and Aroclor 1260 will be out of his system. We don't want to take fluid back." ³⁰
- 72. Even worse, Monsanto instructed its customers to dispose of PCB containing material in local landfills, knowing that landfills were not suitable for PCB contaminated waste. Monsanto had determined that the only effective mothed of disposing of PCBs was incineration, and it constructed an incinerator for disposal of its own PCB contaminants. Nevertheless, as William Papageorge explained in his 1975 testimony before the Department of Natural Resources, Monsanto instructed its customers to dispose of PCB contaminated waste in landfills: "lacking that resource [a commercial incinerator], we have to reluctantly suggest, because we don't have a better answer, that they find a well operated, properly operated landfill and dispose of the material in that fashion."31
- 73. In 1970, the year after Monsanto formed the "ad hoc" committee, and despite Monsanto's knowledge of the global reach of PCB contamination, PCB production in the United States peaked at 85 million pounds.
- 74. Growing awareness of the ubiquitous nature of PCBs led the Unites States to conduct an investigation of health and environmental effects and contamination of food and other products. An

³⁰ MONS 100123-100124.

³¹ See Testimony of William Papageorge, Public Hearing to Review and Receive Public Comment Upon Proposed Administrative Rules Relating to the Discharge of Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB's) Into the Waters of the State, Before the Department of Natural Resources (August 28-29, 1975).

interdepartmental task force concluded in May 1972 that PCBs were highly persistent, could bioaccumulate to relatively high levels, and could have serious adverse health effects on human health.³²

75. After that report, environmental sampling and studies indicated that PCBs were a "more serious and continuing environmental and health threat than had been originally realized."³³ To address these concerns, EPA undertook a study to assess PCB levels in the environment on a national basis. That study revealed widespread occurrence of PCBs in bottom sediments in several states; in fish and birds; in lakes and rivers; in the Atlantic Ocean, the Pacific Ocean, and the Gulf of Mexico; sewage treatment facilities; in a variety of foods including milk, poultry, eggs, fish, meat, and grains; and in human tissues, blood, hair, and milk.³⁴

76. At the same time, Monsanto was promoting the use and sale of Aroclor and other PCB compounds. In a 1960 brochure, Monsanto promotes the use of Aroclors in transformers and capacitors, utility transmission lines, home appliances, electric motors, fluorescent light ballasts, wire or cable coatings, impregnants for insulation, dielectric sealants, chemical processing vessels, food cookers, potato chip fryers, drying ovens, thermostats, furnaces, and vacuum diffusion pumps.

Aroclors could also be used, the brochure advertised, as a component of automotive transmission oil; insecticides; natural waxes used in dental casting, aircraft parts, and jewelry; abrasives; specialized lubricants; industrial cutting oils; adhesives; moisture-proof coatings; printing inks; papers; mastics; sealant; caulking compounds; tack coatings; plasticizers; resin; asphalt; paints, varnishes, and lacquers; masonry coatings for swimming pools, stucco homes, and highway paints; protective and decorative coatings for steel structures, railway tank and gondola cars; wood and metal maritime equipment; and coatings for chemical plants, boats, and highway marking. ³⁵

³² EPA, Review of PCB Levels in the Environment, EPA-560/7-76-001 (January 1976).

 $^{||}_{33}$ *Id.* at 1.

³⁴ *Id.*, *passim*.

³⁵ The Aroclor Compounds (hand dated May 1960), 0509822- 66.

- 77. A 1961 brochure explains that Monsanto's Aroclors are being used in "lacquers for women's shoes," as "a wax for the flame proofing of Christmas trees," as "floor wax," as an adhesive for bookbinding, leather, and shoes, and as invisible marking ink used to make chenille rugs and spreads. ³⁶
- 78. Thus, by February 1961, at the latest, Monsanto knew that its Aroclors were being used in a variety of industrial, commercial, household, and consumer goods. Moreover, Monsanto affirmatively encouraged these uses by encouraging salesmen to market products for these and other applications.
- 79. A few years later, in 1970, Monsanto tried to distance itself from the variety of applications of Aroclors that it proudly espoused a few years before. In a press release, the company claimed: "'What should be emphasized . . . is that PCB was developed over 40 years ago primarily for use as a coolant in electrical transformers and capacitors. It is also used in commercial heating and cooling systems. It is not a 'household' item."³⁷

D. Monsanto Concealed the Nature of PCBs from Governmental Entities.

- 80. While the scientific community and Monsanto knew that PCBs were toxic and becoming a global contaminant, Monsanto repeatedly misrepresented these facts, telling governmental entities the exact opposite that the compounds were not toxic and that the company would <u>not</u> expect to find PCBs in the environment in a widespread manner.
- 81. In a March 24, 1969 letter to Los Angeles County Air Pollution Control District, Monsanto advised that the Aroclor compounds "are not particularly toxic by oral ingestion or skin absorption." Addressing reports of PCBs found along the West Coast, Monsanto claimed ignorance as to their origin, explaining that "very little [Aroclor] would normally be expected either in the air or in the liquid discharges from a using industry." A similar letter to the Regional Water Quality

28

26

27

³⁶ Plasticizer Patter (February 1961), 0627503-21.

³⁷ See Press release (July 16, 1970), MCL000647-50.

³⁸ Letter from Monsanto to Los Angeles County Air Pollution Control District (March 24, 1969).

³⁹ *Id*.

GOMEZ

⁴⁴ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, *Record of Decision* — *Lower Duwamish Waterway Superfund Site.* WA00002329803 (November 2014) at 34, available at PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 18 OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

1	86.	The Lower Duwamish and the East Waterway are listed on the Washington State Water
2	Quality Asses	sment list of impaired water bodies, in accordance with section 303(d) of the Clean
3	Water Act, du	e to PCBs in sediments. ⁴⁵
4	87.	PCBs are the most widespread contaminant in Lower Duwamish sediment, found in
5	94% of the sur	face sediment locations sampled for PCBs and 48% of the subsurface sediment
6	samples.46	
7	88.	The Washington State Department of Health advises "no consumption of resident fish
8	and shellfish f	from the LDW,"47 due to elevated PCB levels.
9	89.	The City has participated in cleanups of PCB-contaminated sediment from the Lower
10	Duwamish Wa	aterway. ⁴⁸
11	90.	PCB was also detected in almost all samples of fish, shellfish, and benthic invertebrate
12	tissues. ⁴⁹ EPA	A identified PCBs as presenting a human health risk for individuals engaged in
13	netfishing, clamming, and beach play. ⁵⁰	
14		FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
15		PUBLIC NUISANCE
16	91.	Plaintiff realleges and reaffirms each and every allegation set forth in all preceding
17	paragraphs as	if fully restated in this cause of action.
18		
19		
20	http://www.ep	a.gov/region10/pdf/sites/ldw/ROD_final_11-21-2014.pdf (last accessed January 20,
21	2010).	
22	⁴⁵ <i>Id.</i> at 14.	
23		
24	46 <i>Id.</i> at 22, 28	•
25	⁴⁷ <i>Id.</i> at 34.	
26	⁴⁸ <i>Id.</i> at 5.	
27	⁴⁹ <i>Id.</i> at 28.	
28	⁵⁰ <i>Id.</i> at 50-53	
	iplaintiff S O	RIGINAL COMPLAINT - 19 OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

manufacture of PCBs and which can no longer produce PCBs.

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 20

1

2

5

6

7

89

1011

1213

1415

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

GOMEZ TRIAL ATTORNEYS The City of Seattle suffers damage from Monsanto's PCBs. The City incurs costs to remove PCBs that have invaded its drainage systems and to prevent additional PCBs from entering its systems. Many of the City's streets are contaminated with PCBs that get into the City's drainage systems. The City of Seattle suffers injuries that are different from those suffered by the public at large.

- 105. Seattle has already incurred costs associated with testing and monitoring for PCBs, reducing PCBs in stormwater, and removing PCBs from the Lower Duwamish Waterway. The Washington Department of Ecology is requiring the City to increase its efforts to identify and reduce sources of PCBs to its drainage systems. Under the EPA/Ecology Consent Decree, Seattle will incur nearly \$27 Million to construct a stormwater treatment plant to reduce PCBs in stormwater discharges from one drainage basin adjacent to the Lower Duwamish.
- 106. The City is incurring and will continue to incur costs to investigate and remediate PCB contamination in the East Waterway.
- Monsanto knew or, in the exercise of reasonable care, should have known that the manufacture and sale of PCBs was causing and would cause the type of contamination now found in the Duwamish River. Monsanto knew that PCBs would contaminate water supplies, would degrade marine habitats and would endanger birds and animals. In addition, Monsanto knew PCBs are associated with serious illnesses and cancers in humans and that humans may be exposed to PCBs through ingestion of fish and/or dermal contact. As a result, it was foreseeable to Monsanto that humans may be exposed to PCBs through swimming in contaminated waters, playing on contaminated beaches, and by eating fish and shellfish from contaminated areas. Monsanto thus knew, or should have known, that PCB contamination would seriously and unreasonably interfere with the ordinary comfort, use, and enjoyment of any contaminated water body. Monsanto had a duty to cease manufacturing, distributing, selling and promoting PCBs and failed to do so. Monsanto also had a duty to warn about the dangers of PCBs and failed to do so.
- 108. As a direct and proximate result of Monsanto's creation of a public nuisance, Seattle has suffered, and continues to suffer, monetary damages to be proven at trial.

1	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	
2	PRODUCTS LIABILITY- DEFECTIVE DESIGN	
3	109. Plaintiff realleges and reaffirms each and every allegation set forth in all preceding	
4	paragraphs as if fully restated in this cause of action.	
5	110. The City is not asserting this claim against Pharmacia for costs to investigate and	
6	remediate contamination in the Lower Duwamish. In all other respects Pharmacia is subject to this	
7	claim.	
8	111. Monsanto's PCBs were not reasonably safe as designed at the time the PCBs left	
9	Monsanto's control.	
10	PCBs' toxicity and inability to be contained rendered them unreasonably dangerous at	
11	all times.	
12	113. Monsanto's PCBs were unsafe as designed as demonstrated by the United State	
13	Congress banning the production and sale of PCBs pursuant to the Toxic Substances Control Act in	
14	1979.	
15	Due to their toxicity and inability to be contained, Monsanto knew its PCBs were not	
16	safe at the time the product was manufactured because it was certain that the product would become	
17	global contaminant and cause toxic contamination of waterways and wildlife, such as Seattle's	
18	stormwater and the fish in the Duwamish River, due to the nature of PCBs.	
19	115. Monsanto knew its PCBs were unsafe to an extent beyond that which would be	
20	contemplated by an ordinary person because of the overwhelming seriousness of creating global	
21	contamination.	
22	116. Monsanto manufactured, distributed, sold, and promoted PCBs despite such knowledge	
23	in order to maximize its profits despite the known harm.	
24	117. Monsanto's PCBs caused and continue to cause injury to the City of Seattle.	
25	118. The City of Seattle has suffered and will continue to suffer damages.	
26	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION	
27	PRODUCTS LIABILITY- FAILURE TO WARN	
28		

1	119.	Plaintiff realleges and reaffirms each and every allegation set forth in all preceding	
2	paragraphs as if fully restated in this count.		
3	120.	The City is not asserting this claim against Pharmacia for costs to investigate and	
4	remediate con	amination in the Lower Duwamish. In all other respects Pharmacia is subject to this	
5	claim.		
6	121.	Monsanto's PCBs were not reasonably safe because they lacked adequate warnings at	
7	the time the PCBs left Monsanto's control.		
8	122.	At the time Monsanto manufactured, distributed, sold, and promoted its PCBs,	
9	Monsanto kne	w it was a certainty that PCBs would become a global contaminate and contaminate	
10	waterways and	I wildlife such as Seattle's stormwater and fish in the Duwamish River.	
11	123.	Despite Monsanto's knowledge, Monsanto failed to provide adequate warnings that its	
12	PCBs would b	ecome a global contaminant and contaminate waterways and wildlife, such as Seattle's	
13	stormwater an	d fish in the Duwamish River.	
14	124.	Monsanto could have warned of this certainty but intentionally concealed the certainty	
15	of global cont	amination in order to maximize profits.	
16	125.	Monsanto learned and concealed the dangers of PCBs after it manufactured, distributed	
17	promoted, and	sold PCBs.	
18	126.	Without adequate warnings or instructions, Monsanto's PCBs were unsafe to an extent	
19	beyond that w	nich would be contemplated by an ordinary person.	
20	127.	Monsanto knowingly failed to issue warnings or instructions concerning the dangers of	
21	PCBs in the m	anner that a reasonably prudent manufacturer would act in the same or similar	
22	circumstances		
23	128.	Monsanto's PCBs caused and continue to cause injury to the City of Seattle.	
24	129.	The City of Seattle has suffered and will continue to suffer damages.	
25		FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
26		NEGLIGENCE	
27	130.	Plaintiff realleges and reaffirms each and every allegation set forth in all preceding	
28		if fully restates in this count.	

1	131.	The City is not asserting this claim against Pharmacia for costs to investigate and
2	remediate cor	ntamination in the Lower Duwamish. In all other respects Pharmacia is subject to this
3	claim.	
4	132.	Monsanto failed to exercise ordinary care because a reasonably careful company that
5	learned of its	product's toxicity would not manufacture that product or would warn of its toxic
6	properties.	
7	133.	Monsanto failed to exercise ordinary care because a reasonably careful company that
8	learned that it	s product could not be contained during normal production and use would not continue to
9	manufacture that product or would warn of its dangers.	
10	134.	Monsanto failed to exercise ordinary care because a reasonably careful company would
11	not continue	to manufacture PCBs in mass quantities and to the extent that Monsanto manufactured
12	them.	
13	135.	Monsanto was grossly negligent because it failed to exercise even slight care.
14	136.	Monsanto's negligence caused and continues to cause injury to the City of Seattle.
15	137.	The City of Seattle has suffered and will continue to suffer damages.
16		FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
17		EQUITABLE INDEMNITY
18	138.	Plaintiff realleges and reaffirms each and every allegation set forth in all preceding
19	paragraphs as	if fully restated in this count. The City is not asserting this claim against Pharmacia for
20	costs to inves	tigate and remediate contamination in the Lower Duwamish. In all other respects
21	Pharmacia is	subject to this claim.
22	139.	The City of Seattle is subject to an administrative order issued jointly by EPA and the
23	Washington I	Department of Ecology that required preparation of a Remedial Investigation and a
24	Feasibility St	udy for the Lower Duwamish. The City is continuing to incur costs to implement further
25	requirements	under the order. The City will incur costs to design and implement the remedy.
26	140.	In addition, Seattle has incurred cleanup costs for removing PCB-laden sediments from
27	four Early Ac	etion Areas in the Lower Duwamish.

1	141. The Washington I	Department of Ecology is requiring Seattle to increase its efforts to
2	identify and reduce sources of PC	CBs to its drainage systems.
3	142. Pursuant to the joi	nt EPA/Ecology Consent Decree, Seattle will be constructing a
4	stormwater treatment plant to ren	nove PCBs in stormwater from one drainage basin adjacent to the
5	Duwamish, at an estimated cost of almost \$27 Million.	
6	143. Seattle is paying a	substantial portion of costs to investigate contamination in the East
7	Waterway and will continue paying costs to implement the remedy that EPA selects.	
8	144. Monsanto is respo	nsible for the PCB contamination that Seattle must address pursuant
9	to these regulatory requirements.	
10		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
11	Plaintiff prays for judgme	nt against Defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:
12	 Compensatory damag 	es according to proof;
13	2. Award of the present a	and future costs to abate the ongoing public nuisance;
14	3. Declaratory judgment	requiring Monsanto to pay for abatement of the ongoing nuisance;
15	4. Litigation costs and at	torney's fees as provided by law;
16	5. Pre-judgment and pos	t-judgment interest;
17	6. Any other and further	relief as the Court deems just, proper, and equitable.
18	Dated: January 25, 2016	Respectfully submitted,
19	Dated. Salidary 23, 2010	PETER S. HOLMES
20		Seattle City Attorney
21		By: s/Peter S. Holmes
22		By: s/Laura B. Wishik Peter S. Holmes, WSBA # 15787
23		Laura B. Wishik, WSBA #16682 OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY
24		701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050 Seattle, Washington 98104-7097
25		Telephone: (206) 684-8200 Email: Laura.Wishik@seattle.gov
26		BARON & BUDD, P.C.
27		Scott Summy (pending Pro Hac Vice) Carla Burke (pending Pro Hac Vice)
28	PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAIN	Celeste Evangelisti (pending Pro Hac Vice) NT - 25 OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050 Seattle, Washington 98104-7097

Telephone: (206) 684-8200

3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 1100 1 Dallas, Texas 75219-4281 Telephone: (214) 521-3605 2 Email: SSummy@baronbudd.com cburkepickrel@baronbudd.com 3 cevangelisti@baronbudd.com 4 **GOMEZ TRIAL ATTORNEYS** John H. Gomez (pending Pro Hac Vice) 5 John P. Fiske (pending Pro Hac Vice) 655 West Broadway, Suite 1700 6 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 237-3490 7 Email: john@gomeztrialattorneys.com jfiske@gomeztrialattorneys.com 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

GOMEZ TRIAL ATTORNEYS

1	<u>DEMAN</u>	ND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	Plaintiff demands a jury trial.	
3		
4	Dated: January 25, 2016	PETER S. HOLMES Seattle City Attorney
5		•
6		By: <u>s/Peter S. Holmes</u> By: <u>s/Laura B. Wishik</u>
7 8		Peter S. Holmes, WSBA # 15787 Laura B. Wishik, WSBA #16682
9		701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050 Seattle, Washington 98104-7097
10		Telephone: (206) 684-8200 Email: Laura.Wishik@seattle.gov
11		BARON & BUDD, P.C.
12		Scott Summy (pending Pro Hac Vice) Carla Burke (pending Pro Hac Vice)
13		Celeste Evangelisti (pending Pro Hac Vice) 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 1100
14		Dallas, Texas 75219-4281 Telephone: (214) 521-3605 Email: SSummy@baronbudd.com
15		cburkepickrel@baronbudd.com cevangelisti@baronbudd.com
16		GOMEZ TRIAL ATTORNEYS
17		John H. Gomez (pending Pro Hac Vice) John P. Fiske (pending Pro Hac Vice)
18		655 West Broadway, Suite 1700 San Diego, CA 92101
19		Telephone: (619) 237-3490 Email: john@gomeztrialattorneys.com
20		jfiske@gomeztrialattorneys.com
21		Attorneys for Plaintiff
22		
23		
2425		
26		
27		
28		

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT - 27

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050

Seattle, Washington 98104-7097 Telephone: (206) 684-8200